

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 TIMOTHY JEROME IRBY,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:25-cv-00936-RFB-EJY

ORDER

13
14 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) of the
15 Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 3, 2025. A
16 district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
17 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific
18 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §
19 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is
20 required to “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed
21 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local
22 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct
23 “any review,” *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.
24 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were
25 due by June 17, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in
26 this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

27 ///

28 ///

